

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,560		03/23/2004	David L. Marvit	073338.0195 (04-50467 FLA	4252
5073	7590	10/31/2006		EXAM	INER
	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE			LIANG, REGINA	
SUITE 600	AVENUE	3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DALLAS, TX 75201-2980		2629		
				DATE MAILED: 10/31/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/807,560	MARVIT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Regina Liang	2629	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□ 3)□	<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2004.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>			
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/04,11/21/05.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 2629

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 15-20 although written to include a computer readable medium, however for a logic, i.e., computer program, to be statutory subject is must be claimed as a computer program stored on a computer readable medium as set forth in page 52 of the Interim Guidelines, thus without such the claims are non-statutory in nature.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/807,560

Art Unit: 2629

4. Claims 1-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending application No. 10/807,589. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are claiming a similar subject matter.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of copending application 10/807,589.

claim 1 of copending application 10/807589
a motion controlled handheld device
comprising:
a display having a viewable surface and
operable to generate an image;
a gesture database maintaining a plurality of
gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of
the device with respect to a first position of
the device;
a plurality of applications each having a
plurality of predefined commands;

Art Unit: 2629

a motion detection module operable to detect a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface: motion in relation to the viewable surface; a user interface operable to receive user input associating selected ones of the gestures with corresponding ones of the commands; a gesture mapping database comprising a a gesture mapping database comprising a command map for the application, the plurality of command maps, each of the command map comprising mappings of the command maps corresponding to a particular selected gestures to the corresponding one of the applications and mapping each of commands as indicated by the user input; the predefined commands to one of the gestures;

a control module operable to load the application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching one of the gestures, to identify, using the command map, the command mapped to the

a control module operable to load one of the applications, to select one of the command maps corresponding to the loaded application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching gesture, to

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/807,560

Art Unit: 2629

matching gesture, and to perform the	identify, using the selected command map,
identified command using the application.	the predefined command mapped to the
	matching gesture, and to perform the
	identified command using the loaded
	application.

As can be seen above, claim 1 of the copending application does not have a user interface, however, it would have been obvious to realize claim 1 of the copending application having a user interface since this permits the user to gesture with the device to input commands or data.

5. Claims 1-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending application No. 10/807,572. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are claiming a similar subject matter.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of copending application 10/807,572.

claim 1 of copending application 10/807,572
a motion controlled handheld device
comprising:

Art Unit: 2629

a display having a viewable surface and	a display having a viewable surface and
operable to generate an image;	operable to generate an image;
a gesture database maintaining a plurality of	a gesture database maintaining a plurality of
predefined gestures, each gesture defined by a	gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of
motion of the device with respect to a first	the device with respect to a first position of
position of the device;	the device, the gestures comprising symbol
	gestures each corresponding to a character
	from a preexisting character set;
an application having a plurality of	an application database maintaining at least
predefined commands;	one application;
a motion detection module operable to detect	a motion detection module operable to detect
motion of the handheld device within three	motion of the handheld device within three
dimensions and to identify components of the	dimensions and to identify components of the
motion in relation to the viewable surface;	motion in relation to the viewable surface;
a user interface operable to receive user input	
associating selected ones of the gestures with	
corresponding ones of the commands;	
a gesture mapping database comprising a	a gesture mapping database comprising a
command map for the application, the	gesture input map for the application, the
command map comprising mappings of the	gesture input map comprising mappings of

Art Unit: 2629

selected gestures to the corresponding	the system gestures to corresponding inputs
commands as indicated by the user input;	for the application;

a control module operable to load the application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching one of the gestures, to identify, using the command map, the command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the identified command using the application.

a control module operable to load the application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the symbol gestures to identify a matching symbol gesture, to identify, using the gesture input map, the corresponding input mapped to the matching symbol gesture, and to provide the corresponding input to the application.

As can be seen above, claim 1 of the copending application does not have a user interface, however, it would have been obvious to realize claim 1 of the copending application having a user interface since this permits the user to gesture with the device to input commands or data.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2629

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-3, 7-10, 14-17, 20, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mosttov (WO 03/001340).

As to claims 1, 21, Mosttov discloses a motion controlled handheld device (Fig. 1) comprising:

a display having a viewable surface and operable to generate an image;

a gesture database (the gesture recognition system 15 in Fig. 2) maintaining a plurality of predefined gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of the device with respect to a first position of the device (see page 6, lines 22-28; page 7, line 29 to page 8, line 2);

an application (28 in Fig. 2) having a plurality of predefined commands (page 8, lines 8-16);

a motion detection module (sensors 12 in Fig. 2) operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface (page 7, lines 16-25);

a user interface operable to receive user input associating selected ones of the gestures with corresponding ones of the commands (page 6, lines 26-28);

a gesture mapping database (24 in Fig. 2) comprising a command map for the application (page 8, lines 17-23), the command map comprising mappings of the selected gestures to the corresponding commands as indicated by the user input (page 8, lines 24-28); and

a control module (Fig. 2) operable to load the application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module (12), to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching one of the gestures, to identify, using the command

Art Unit: 2629

map, the command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the identified command using the application (see page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 34 for example).

As to claim 2, Fig. 2-4 of Mosttov teaches the user input identifies a particular one of the gestures and a plurality of predefined commands; the command map further comprises a mapping of the identified gesture to the identified plurality of the predefined commands; and a control module is operable, in response to the matching gesture being the particular one of the gestures, to perform each of the plurality of the predefined commands (page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 34).

As to claim 3, Mosttov teaches the application has a first application state and a second application state, the command map for the application, in response to a same gesture, maps a first predefined commands in the first application state and a second predefined command in the second application state (page 8, lines 8-12).

As to claim 7, Fig. 5 of Mosttov teaches the device comprising three accelerometers (40) for detecting acceleration along three axis, the gesture database, the motion detection module and the control module as claimed.

Claims 8-10, 14-17, 20, which are method claims corresponding to the above apparatus claims 1-3, 7, are rejected for the same reasons as stated above since such method "steps" are clearly read on by the corresponding "means".

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,560 Page 10

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Regina Liang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2674

10/25/06